Why ECCSs can't work

Core meltdown

When active, a reactor core provides an intensive source of heat, requiring a constant flow of cooling water to dissipate it. If this flow of coolant is interrupted the fuel and its enclosing cans will rise in temperature and melt.

Emergency core cooling systems are designed to prevent a core meltdown if the coolant flow fails. They will feature in the proposed nuclear power plants in the UK, the French EPR, the US AP1000 pressure water reactors and the Japanese ABWR boiling water reactor. Their concept is to inject water into the reactor vessel to cool the core, after the normal coolant flow fails (or its feed water in the case of the ABWR). They are needed for component failure and for a station blackout (SBO).

ECCSs failed to prevent the cores to melt at Three Mile Island and Fukushima and this article examines why.

EPR

In this EPR diagram, the core is shown in orange, the cooling circuit in light brown, the feed water is shown in blue, the steam is colourless in one of the four steam generators, in the turbine and its condenser.
In this AP1000 diagram the core is shown in red, the feed water to the two steam generators is in blue, while the steam lines to the turbine are colourless.

ABWR
In this ABWR diagram the core is in red, feed water in dark blue and the steam to the turbine is light blue. The seawater to the turbine condenser is shown in green. A BWR has no steam generators.

**Reactor vessels**

The critical part is the reactor vessel, designed to contain the core and its control rods. The control rods are moved up and down to regulate the amount of fission. In the EPR and the AP1000 they drop down to shut down the reactor, while in a BWR they are driven up from the base to shut it down.

When operating, the reactor vessels contain water at high pressure and temperature, ranging from 15.5 Mpa (2300 psi) for the EPR; 10.8 Mpa (1566 psi) for the AP1000; to 7.1 Mpa (1060 psi) for the ABWR and from 327°C, to 320°C and to 288°C respectively. With lowering pressures the high pressure hot water flashes to a steam/water mix and to steam.

The EPR and the AP1000 have steam generators to separate the radioactive coolant from the steam to the turbine, while the ABWR reactor vessel passes the steam from its upper part directly to the turbine.

**Core meltdowns**

Core meltdowns occurred at Three Mile Island 2 and Fukushima 1, 2 and 3.

At **TMI 2** the steam generator feed pumps stopped working while the standby pumps valves were under maintenance. The reactor was shutdown, but the residual decay heat raised the pressure, lifting the relief valve, which stuck open, relieving the pressure.

At **Fukushima**, the earthquake triggered the shutdown of all three working reactors. The control rods were applied and the full heat reduced to the decay heat. The tsunami caused the station blackout by disconnecting the station from the grid and flooding the standby generators. So although some cooling was applied, it ceased after the batteries ran out losing the controls.

The pressure rose in the reactor vessels until the relief valves were opened manually by operators.

Hydrogen explosions followed the de-pressurisations. The explosion pressure was held by the containment at TMI 2; at Fukushima the explosions caused catastrophic damage.
In all cases the control rods were applied and the reactors shut down. Although only 7% of full power, falling rapidly in hours, the decay heat was sufficient to create the explosions and cause core meltdowns.

**A near catastrophe**

At Davis-Besse in the US in 2002, boric acid leaking from a cracked control rod drive mechanism branch in the reactor vessel head ate a large hole in the steel shell. The pressure was held just by the stainless steel liner. Had the vessel ruptured at full power in comparison with just the potency of the decay heat, the consequences are unimaginable.

**Hydrogen generation**

A reactor vessel contains water at high pressure and temperature. Reducing the pressure by venting turns the water into steam, which it would be at that temperature but for the pressure.

The heat transfer from the fuel cans to the water, without the forced circulation and with the water/steam mix turning to steam, is poor. The surface of the fuel cans rises to produce an ion exchange between the zirconium in the can and the steam leading to hydrogen and zirconium oxide.

For the ion exchange reaction the can surface rises to between $1000^\circ C - 2000^\circ C$ so that the hydrogen leaves the vent at more than its auto-ignition temperature of $585^\circ C$. Once the hydrogen/air mix is between 18.3% and 59% the hydrogen ignites and the mixture explodes.

At TMI 2 the containment held, while at Fukushima 1 and 3 the service floors were destroyed.

**De-pressurisation**

For the emergency cooling water or boric acid solution can enter the reactor vessel it has to be de-pressurised by at least $2/3$rds. As demonstrated at TMI 2 and Fukushima the venting causes the internal high pressure hot water to flash to steam generating the hydrogen.

The steam and hydrogen leaving the vent prevents the emergency water ingress.

The uncovered core melts, at TMI 2 the core melted partially, while at Fukushima the state of the cores is unknown, but they have possibly already melted through the bottom of the reactor vessels.
There were emergency core cooling systems at Three Mile Island and Fukushima, but in all four events failed to prevent the core meltdowns. The entry of the emergency cooling needs the prior de-pressurisation of the reactor vessel, which then causes the hydrogen generation and the uncovering of the core. It can be concluded that ECCSs can't work.
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TEPCO concluded in the early hours of 12 March 2011 that there was a possibility that the PCV (pressure containment vessel) pressure had exceeded its maximum operating pressure and at 06:50 local time, the regulator ordered TEPCO to take measures to reduce the pressure in the PCV. To this end, TEPCO managed to manually open a motor operated valve in the PCV vent line to 25% at about 09:15 local time 12 March 2011. Despite high radiation levels they strove to open a second, air operated, valve in the subsequent hours. These attempts were successful because the PCV pressure had reduced by 14:30 local time.

At 15:36 local time an explosion, presumed to be an hydrogen explosion, occurred in the upper part of the reactor building. The roof, the outer wall of the operation floor and the waste processing building roof were destroyed. Radioactive material was released by the explosion, increasing the radiation dose in the surrounding area.
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